

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/644,665	08/20/2003	David D. Demarest	st ETH-1437 CON 64 (8920ZYXAZ)			
7590 02/19/2004  Audley A. Ciamporcero, Jr., Esq. One Johnson & Johnson Plaza			EXAM	EXAMINER		
		BIDWELL, JAMES R				
	k, NJ 08933-7003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3651			

DATE MAILED: 02/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<del></del>		<del></del>		
Office Action Summary		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)				
		10/644,66	65	DEMAREST ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		James R I		3651			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION SIDE OF THIS COMMUNION SIDE OF THIS COMMUNION SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum stars to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months at ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenuication. b) days, a reply within the stat tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>20 August 200</u> 3	ļ.				
2a)[	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□							
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or ser No(s)/Mail Date <u>08-20-2003</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)		

Art Unit: 3651

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,644,464. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it is inherent and obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that such downstream features as a linear slide and inspection station have no bearing on the claimed function of the vibratory bowl and control system therefore.

Art Unit: 3651

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to James R Bidwell at telephone number (703)308-1144.

J.R.B.

02-13-2004

AMES R. BIDWELL
RIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP SEED 2/14/04